

APPENDIX

Supporting Proofs



Claim. The triple $(\{a, b\}, +, \cdot)$ with operations defined as below, constitutes a field.

| | | | | | |
|-----|-----|-----|---------|-----|-----|
| $+$ | a | b | \cdot | a | b |
| a | a | b | \cdot | a | a |
| b | b | a | \cdot | b | a |

Proof. First, it is to be shown $(\{a, b\}, +)$ is an Abelian group. From the table above at left, it is clear $+$ is well-defined on $\{a, b\}$. Moreover, a serves as additive identity, and each element is its own additive inverse. As a and b are the only elements in the set, commutativity follows from the fact that $a + b = b + a$. To be thorough, it must be verified $+$ is associative. This is illustrated with the following cases:

- (i) $a + (a + a) = a + a = (a + a) + a$;
- (ii) $a + (b + a) = a + b = b + a = (a + b) + a$;
- (iii) $b + (a + b) = b + b = (b + a) + b$;
- (iv) $b + (b + b) = b + a = a + b = (b + b) + b$.

Now, the set $\{a, b\}$ is clearly closed under \cdot , and it must be shown the nonzero elements (where zero element refers to additive identity, a in this case) form an Abelian group under \cdot as well. Fortunately, the only nonzero element is b , and the set $\{b\}$ under \cdot is trivially Abelian due to possessing a singular possible product.

Finally, it must be shown the distributive law of $+$ over \cdot holds. Happily, all cases can be presented thus (where $a \cdot b$ is written ab):

- (i) $(a + a) \cdot a = aa = a = a + a = (aa) + (aa)$;
- (ii) $(a + b) \cdot a = ba = a = a + a = (aa) + (ba)$;
- (iii) $(b + b) \cdot a = aa = a = a + a = (ba) + (ba)$;
- (iv) $(a + a) \cdot b = ab = a = a + a = (ab) + (ab)$;
- (v) $(a + b) \cdot b = bb = b = a + b = (ab) + (bb)$;
- (vi) $(b + b) \cdot b = ab = a = b + b = (bb) + (bb)$.

This completes the proof.

Claim. The fields[†] $(\{e, o\}, +, \cdot)$ and $(\{o, I\}, +_2, \cdot)$ with operations defined as below, are isomorphic.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 + & e & o \\
 e & e & o \\
 o & o & e
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \cdot & e & o \\
 e & e & e \\
 o & e & o
 \end{array}$$



$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 +_2 & o & I \\
 o & o & I \\
 I & I & o
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 \cdot & o & I \\
 o & o & o \\
 I & o & I
 \end{array}$$

Proof. Define a function $f: \{e, o\} \rightarrow \{o, I\}$ by $f(e) = o$ and $f(o) = I$. This way, it is clear f is a one to one correspondence. Therefore, if it can be shown that for each choice of x and y in $\{e, o\}$ the *homomorphism property* holds:

$$f(x + y) = f(x) +_2 f(y);$$

$$f(xy) = f(x)f(y),$$

then it follows f is an isomorphism of fields. All possible cases are shown below.

- (i) $f(e + e) = f(e) = o = o +_2 o = f(e) +_2 f(e) ;$
- (ii) $f(e + o) = f(o) = I = o +_2 I = f(e) +_2 f(o) ;$
- (iii) $f(o + o) = f(e) = o = I +_2 I = f(o) +_2 f(o) ;$

- (iv) $f(ee) = f(e) = o = (o)(o) = f(e)f(e) ;$
- (v) $f(eo) = f(e) = o = (o)(I) = f(e)f(o) ;$
- (vi) $f(oo) = f(o) = I = (I)(I) = f(o)f(o) .$

Conclude $(\{e, o\}, +, \cdot)$ and $(\{o, I\}, +_2, \cdot)$ are indeed isomorphic.

[†] The proof may be undertaken similarly for any of the examples of GF(2) in this post.